The use of a germene for the synthesis of esters of α -germyl-substituted a**-amino acid and** a**-aminophosphonic acid†**

S. Ech-Cherif El Kettani,*ab* **J. Escudié,** *b* **C. Couret,***b* **H. Ranaivonjatovo,***b* **M. Lazraq,***ab* **M. Soufiaoui,***c* **H. Gornitzka***b* **and G. Cretiu Nemes***bd*

a Université Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah, Faculté des Sciences Dhar El Mehrez, BP 1796 Atlas-Fès, Morocco

- *b Hétérochimie Fondamentale et Appliquée, UMR 5069, Université Paul Sabatier, 118 Route de Narbonne, 31062, Toulouse Cedex 04, France*
- *c Université Mohamed V, Agdal, Faculté des Sciences, Avenue Ibn Batouta, Rabat, Morocco*
- *d Universitatea Babes-Bolyai Cluj, Facultatea de Chimie si Inginerie Chimica, Kogalniceanu nr. 1, RO-3400, Cluj-Napoca, Romania*

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 1st April 2003, Accepted 20th May 2003 First published as an Advance Article on the web 5th June 2003

The first α -germyl-substituted α -amino ester and α -germyl**substituted** a**-aminophosphonic ester have been synthesized** by a one-pot reaction between the germene $Mes_2Ge=CR_2$ \overline{CR}_2 = fluorenvlidene) and the iminoester or iminophosphonate $Ph(H)C=NCH_2-Y$ (Y = COOMe, $P(O)(OEt)_2$).

There is a great deal of interest in the synthesis and the use of α amino acids and α -aminophosphonic acids which bear unnatural side chains which could modify their biological activities. For example, the introduction of organometallic moieties such as triorganosilyl (or germyl) groups known for their non polar, hydrophobic properties and large volume (relative to the corresponding hydrocarbon groups) is particularly attractive. However, if some β -silyl¹ (or germyl^{1gh}) α -amino acids have been described, only one α -silyl α -amino acid **I** (M = Si) has been reported^{2*a*} and its α -germanium analogues are still unknown (Chart 1). Some α -silyl esters **II** (M = Si)^{2*b*} have been described but to the best of our knowledge, their α -germanium analogues **II** ($M = Ge$), precursors of the corresponding α amino acids $I(M = Ge)$, do not exist. The main reason is the absence of a suitable method for their synthesis.

In the field of α -aminophosphonic acids, the α -silyl- or germyl-substituted derivatives $III(R = H)$ are still unknown, as well as the corresponding germylphosphonates $(R = alkyl)$, aryl); only a few examples of α -silyl compounds have been described so far.3

We report here the nearly quantitative one-step synthesis, using a germanium–carbon doubly bonded derivative, of the first α -germyl-substituted α -amino ester of type **II** and α germyl a-aminophosphonic ester **III**.

Addition of iminoester **2**4 or iminophosphonate **3**5 to an ethereal solution of germene **1**6 followed by overnight stirring at room temperature afforded nearly quantitatively the 3-germapyrrolidines **4** or **5** respectively which were purified by fractional crystallization as air stable compounds (Scheme 1).

The structures of **4** and **5** were deduced from their NMR data‡ and that of **4** was unambiguously established through an X-ray crystal diffraction study (Fig. 1).§

One of the main features of this reaction is its complete regioselectivity and complete diastereoselectivity leading to the isomer with the Ph and Y groups in a pseudo equatorial position and therefore *cis*.

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: molecular structure of **4**; crystal data and structure refinement for **4**. See http:// www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b3/b303661h/

The stereochemical outcome of the process suggested that the most reasonable mechanism should be a $[3 + 2]$ cycloaddition reaction between the germene and the 1,3-dipole azomethine ylide. The latter could arise from **2** or **3** by a prototropic shift (perhaps catalyzed by the germene7). The complete diastereoselectivity could be explained by the presence of one equivalent of LiF in the crude solution of germene prepared from Mes₂Ge(F)CHR₂. Such types of diastereoselective reactions from iminoesters $R(H)C=\dot{N}-CH(R')COOR''$ have already been described.8

Due to the hindered rotation of the two nonequivalent mesityl groups caused by the great steric hindrance, the four *ortho* methyl groups of the mesityls appear as broad signals. They are spread over a very large range (from 0.96 to 2.97 ppm) since the steric hindrance and the cyclic structure induce a special blocked position relative to the CR_2 group. The spectroscopic data for **4** and **5** feature very surprising extremely low-field shifts (8.80 and 8.76 ppm respectively) of one proton of the fluorenylidene group. From the X-ray structure, it appears that it corresponds to the $C_{22}H$ which is close to one mesityl group. This low-field shift is in good agreement with calculations from the Johnson and Bovey π -effect tables.⁹

The X-ray structure does not deserve any special comment; we have only to mention the elongation of the two intracyclic Ge–C bonds: Ge–C(1) (2.027(1) \AA) and particularly Ge–C(11) $(2.041(1)$ Å) (generally 1.95 to 1.98 Å for standard Ge–C bonds),10 due to the high steric hindrance.

The straightforward formation of α -germyl-substituted α amino ester 4 and α -aminophosphonic ester 5 illustrates the

Scheme 1 *Reagents and conditions*: **1** (500 mg) in Et₂O; **2** or **3** (1 equiv.) slowly added at rt, stirring 15 h, recrystallization from $Et₂O$, white crystals of **4** (605 mg, 87%) and **5** (710 mg, 92%).

Fig. 1 Molecular structure of **4** (thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability level, H atoms and a molecule of diethyl ether are omitted for clarity). Selected bond lengths (\AA) and angles (°): Ge–C(11) 2.041(1), C(4)–C(11) 1.567(2), C(4)–N 1.464(2), N–C(1) 1.459(2), Ge–C(1) 2.027(1), Ge–C(24) 1.980(1), Ge–C(33) 1.984(2), C(11)–C(12) 1.513(2), C(11)–C(23) 1.509(2), C(1)– Ge–C(11) 89.16(6), $C(24)$ –Ge–C(33) 107.39(6), Ge–C(11)–C(4) 97.63(9).

synthetic potential and rich chemistry of germene **1**.7,11 This method constitutes an original route to α -amino esters which contain large hydrophobic moieties. The hydrolysis of these esters to the corresponding α -germanium (phosphonic) amino acids is now under active investigation.

We are grateful to the "Comité Mixte Inter-Universitaire Franco-Marocain" for the Action Intégrée n° 216/SM/00.

Notes and references

Data for 4: white crystals (Et₂O), mp 198-199 °C; ¹H NMR (200.13 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 0.96 and 1.12 (2 br s, 2 \times 3H, *o*-Me of Mes), 1.21 (t, ³*J*_{HH} $= 6.9$ Hz, 6H, Me of Et₂O), 2.11 (s, 3H, *p*-Me of Mes), 2.17 (br s, 3H, *o*-Me of Mes), 2.31 (s, 3H, *p*-Me of Mes), 2.97 (br s, 3H, *o*-Me of Mes), 3.30 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.48 (q, $3J_{HH} = 6.9$ Hz, 4H, CH₂ of Et₂O), 5.12 (d, $3J_{HH} = 8.8$ Hz, 1H, CHCOOMe), 5.34 (d, ³J_{HH} = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CHPh), 6.37 (br d, ³J_{HH} $= 7.8$ Hz, 1H, H of CR₂), 6.50, 6.56 and 6.62 (3 br s, 3 \times 1H, *m*-H of Mes), 6.74–6.78 (m, 5H, Ph), 6.81 (td, ³J_{HH} = 7.5 Hz, ⁴J_{HH} = 1.2 Hz, 1H, H of CR₂), 7.04 (br s, 1H, *m*-H of Mes), 7.11 and 7.23 (2td, $3J_{HH} = 7.5$ Hz, $4J_{HH}$ $= 1.2$ Hz, 2 \times 1H, H of CR₂), 7.35 (td, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.5 Hz, ⁴*J*_{HH} = 1.2 Hz, 1H, H of CR₂), 7.54 (2 br d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, H of CR₂), 8.80 (br d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} =$ 7.5 Hz, 1H, H of CR₂), ¹³C {¹H} NMR δ = 15.3 (CH₃ of Et₂O), 20.8 and 21.0 (2s, *p*-Me of Mes), 23.0, 23.3, 24.3 and 24.6 (4s, *o*-Me of Mes), 51.4 and 55.7 (CHCOOCH₃), 60.1 (CR₂), 65.9 (OCH₂ of Et₂O), 71.0 (CHPh), 119.2 and 119.3 (2s, C(4)C(5) of CR2), 124.4, 125.3, 125.7 and 126.0 (4s, CH of CR₂), 126.6, 126.7, 126.9, 127.2, 127.9 (5s, CH of Ph and CR₂), 128.4, 128.6, 130.0 and 130.4 (4s, *m*-CH of Mes), 134.7, 135.4, 137.1, 138.4, 139.0, 140.9, 141.9, 142.9, 144.4, 144.8, 146.2 (arom C of Ph, Mes and CR₂), 175.0 (CO). IR v cm⁻¹ 3323 (NH), 1706 (CO). MS (EI, 70 eV) *m*/*z* 653 (M⁺, 25), 533 (M – Mes – 1, 20), 476 (Mes₂Ge=CR₂, 42), 399 (M R_2 CCHPh, 96), 311 (Mes₂Ge - H, 100), 254 (R₂CCHPh, 93), 192 $(MesGe - H, 50)$, 177 $(M - Mes_2GeCR_2, 20)$.

5: white crystals (Et₂O), mp 224–225 °C; ¹H NMR (200.13 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 1.00 and 1.06 (2 br s, 2 × 3H, *o*-Me of Mes), 1.22 (td, ³J_{HH} = 7.2 Hz, 4 J_{HP} = 9.2 Hz, 6H, CH₃CH₂O), 2.08 (s, 3H, *p*-Me of Mes), 2.15 (br s, 3H, *o*-Me of Mes), 2.29 (s, 3H, *p*-Me of Mes), 2.73 (br s, 1H, NH), 2.93 (br s, 3H, *o*-Me of Mes), 3.48–3.68 (m, 1H, CH2OP), 3.82–4.02 (m, 3H, CH2OP), 4.84 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 5.9$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{\text{HP}} = 10.2$ Hz, 1H, CHP), 5.24 (s, 1H, CHPh), 6.31 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.8 Hz, 1H, H of CR₂), 6.48, 6.50 and 6.61 (3 br s, 3 \times 1H, *m*-H of Mes), 6.71–6.81 (m, 5H, Ph), 6.89 (t, ³J_{HH} = 7.8 Hz, 1H, H of CR₂), 7.00 (br s, 1H, *m*-H of Mes), 7.14 (t, ³ J_{HH} = 7.1 Hz, 1H, H of CR₂), 7.23–7.31 (m, 2H, H of CR₂), 7.50–7.56 (m, 2H, H of CR₂), 8.76 (br d, ³J_{HH} $= 6.8$ Hz, 1H, H of CR₂). ¹³C {¹H} NMR $\delta = 16.5$ (*C*H₃CH₂O), 21.0 (*p*-Me of Mes), 23.3, 24.3 and 25.6 (s, *o*-Me of Mes), 48.5 (*C*HPh), 61.2 (*C*R2),

62.1 (d, ² J_{CP} = 7.2 Hz, OCH₂), 69.5 (d, ¹ J_{CP} = 17.0 Hz, CHP), 118.9 and 119.0 (2s, C(16)C(19) of CR₂), 125.0, 125.7, 125.9, 126.7, 127.0, 127.6, 128.2, 128.7, 129.4, 130.4 (s, arom CH of Ph, Mes and CR₂), 138.1, 138.2, 138.7, 140.8, 144.5, 146.3 (arom C of Ph, CR₂ and Mes). ³¹P NMR δ = 28.6. IR v cm⁻¹ 3379 (NH), 1245 (P=O). MS (EI, 70 eV) m/z 593 (M⁺ - $P(O)(OEt)₂ - 1, 35)$, 476 (Mes₂Ge=CR₂, 10), 312 (Mes₂Ge, 60), 253 $(R_2CCPh, 71)$, 192 (MesGe - 1, 100).

§ Crystal structure determination of 4, C₄₅H₅₁GeNO₃, M = 726.46*,* triclinic, space group $P\overline{1}$, $a = 11.9777(7)$, $b = 12.1155(7)$, $c = 14.5540(8)$ Å, $\alpha = 101.825(1)$, $\beta = 95.774(1)$, $\gamma = 108.611(1)$ °, $V = 1927.5(2)$ Å³, Z $= 2, T = 193(2)$ K, crystal size $0.5 \times 0.7 \times 0.7$ mm³, $\rho = 1.252$ g cm⁻³, 12972 reflections (9290 independent, $R_{int} = 0.0247$) were collected at low temperatures using an oil-coated shock-cooled crystal on a Bruker-AXS CCD 1000 diffractometer with MoK α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). The structure was solved by direct methods (SHELXS-97)12 and all non hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically using the least-squares method on F^2 .¹³ Largest electron density residue: 0.493 e Å⁻³, R_1 (for $I > 2\sigma(I)$) = 0.0316 and $wR_2 = 0.0864$ (all data) with $R_1 = \sum |F_0| - |F_c| / \sum |F_0|$ and wR_2 $= (\Sigma w (F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2 / \Sigma w (F_0^2)^2)^{0.5}$. CCDC 207496. See http://www.rsc.org/ suppdata/cc/b3/b303661h/ for crystallographic data in .cif format.

- 1 (*a*) R. D. Walkup, D. C. Cole and B. R. Whittlesey, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1995, **60**, 2630–2634; (*b*) R. J. Smith, S. Bratovanov and S. Bienz, *Tetrahedron*, 1997, **53**, 13695–13702; (*c*) M. P. Sibi, B. J. Harris, J. J. Shay and S. Hajra, *Tetrahedron*, 1998, **54**, 7221–7228; (*d*) B. Vivet, F. Cavelier and J. Martinez, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2000, 807–811; (*e*) R. Tacke, M. Merget, R. Bertermann, M. Bernd, T. Beckers and T. Reissmann, *Organometallics*, 2000, **19**, 3486–3497; (*f*) V. I. Handmann, M. Merget and R. Tacke, *Z. Naturforsch., B*, 2000, **55**, 133–138; (*g*) M. Merget, K. Günther, M. Bernd, E. Günther and R. Tacke, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2001, **628**, 183–194; (*h*) R. Tacke and V. I. Handmann, *Organometallics*, 2002, **21**, 2619–2626.
- 2 (*a*) C. Bolm, A. Kazyan, K. Drauz, K. Günther and G. Raabe, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2000, **39**, 2288–2290; (*b*) S. McN. Sieburth, J. J. Somers and H. K. O'Hare, *Tetrahedron*, 1996, **52**, 5669–5682.
- 3 (*a*) A. Couture, E. Deniau, P. Woisel and P. Grandclaudon, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1995, **36**, 2483–2486; (*b*) M. Gulea-Purcarescu, E. About-Jaudet, N. Collignon, M. Saquet and S. Masson, *Tetrahedron*, 1996, **52**, 2075–2086; (*c*) H. Makomo, M. Saquet, F. Simeon, S. Masson, E. About-Jaudet, N. Collignon and M. Gulea-Purcarescu, *Phosphorus, Sulfur, Silicon*, 1996, **109–110**, 445–448; (*d*) S. Dufrechou, J.-C. Combret, C. Malhiac and N. Collignon, *Phosphorus, Sulfur, Silicon*, 1997, **127**, 1–14.
- 4 H. Waldmann, E. Bläser, M. Jansen and H.-P. Letschert, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 1995, **1**, 150–154.
- 5 R. W. Ratcliffe and B. G. Christensen, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1973, **14**, 4645–4648.
- 6 (*a*) C. Couret, J. Escudié, J. Satgé and M. Lazraq, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1987, **109**, 4411–4412; (*b*) M. Lazraq, J. Escudié, C. Couret, J. Satgé, M. Dräger and R. Dammel, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1988, **27**, 828–829.
- 7 The high reactivity of germenes towards acidic hydrogens is well established. For reviews on germenes, see: (*a*) K. M. Baines and W. G. Stibbs, *Adv. Organomet. Chem.*, 1996, **39**, 275–324; (*b*) J. Escudié, C. Couret and H. Ranaivonjatovo, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1998, **178–180**, 565–592; (*c*) J. Escudié and H. Ranaivonjatovo, *Adv. Organomet. Chem.*, 1999, **44**, 113–174.
- 8 For a review see: V. Gothelf and K. A. Jørgensen, *Chem. Rev.*, 1998, **98**, 863–909.
- 9 C. E. Johnson and F. A. Bovey, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1958, **29**, 1012–1014.
- 10 (*a*) K. M. Baines and W. G. Stibbs, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1995, **145**, 157–200; (*b*) C. E. Holloway and M. Melnik, *Main Group Met. Chem.*, 2002, **25**, 185–266.
- 11 Depending on the groups on the C and N atoms of the imine moiety, various reactions of the Ge=C double bond have been observed from germene 1: a $[2 + 2]$ cycloaddition with the C=N double bond of $Ph(H)C=NEt$ and an ene reaction with the NH of $Ph_2C=NH$. M. Lazraq, J. Escudié, C. Couret, J. Satgé and M. Soufiaoui, *Organometallics*, 1991, **10**, 1140–1143.
- 12 G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A*, 1990, **46**, 467–473.
- 13 *SHELXL-97, Program for Crystal Structure Refinement*, G. M. Sheldrick, University of Göttingen, 1997.